

Annual Status Review Checklist

Purpose: To confirm alignment and compliance with the formal recommendations issued by the Anthem Growth Committee, and to ensure AGC deliverables continue to guide growth-related planning, partnerships, and development strategy.

Completed By: Executive Director and relevant staff (Community Affairs, Planning, Finance, etc.)

Frequency: Every 12 months (suggested: Q2 annually, post-budget planning)

I. Reference & Recordkeeping

- All AGC reports, presentations, financial models, and GIS overlays are archived and accessible to staff and leadership.
 - Deliverables are reviewed during strategic discussions related to land use, partnerships, or growth planning.
 - Key findings and maps are stored on shared drives or an internal knowledge base.
-

II. Use of AGC Deliverables in Planning

- Were AGC financial models or development feasibility estimates used in budget planning this year?
 - Were AGC presentations (e.g., Riverside Proposal, ULI Update, FAM Tour Guide) referenced in internal or external growth-related meetings?
 - Was the GIS mapping or zoning information from AGC reviewed during any discussions of annexation, partnerships, or land use?
-

III. Stakeholder Engagement

- Were any AGC deliverables used in discussions with developers, landowners, or municipal partners?
 - Has there been follow-up or outreach to entities mentioned in AGC work (e.g., TSMC, City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, MAG)?
 - Was a meeting or outreach effort held with at least one regional or development partner this year?
-

IV. Monitoring Local & Regional Growth

- Has staff reviewed or updated projections or tracking for housing, commercial development, and land use in the North Valley?
 - Have MAG, City of Phoenix, and Maricopa County planning updates been reviewed for alignment with AGC insights?
 - Was Trevor Carter's or Curtis Baker's land/development data reviewed or compared to new information?
-

V. Strategic & Capital Planning Alignment

- Are AGC recommendations or feasibility studies being used to shape future capital improvements, amenities, or community centers?
 - Are current enhancement fee trends being monitored for alignment with AGC's financial assumptions?
 - Have any potential land acquisitions or major partnerships been reviewed against AGC's long-term vision?
-

VI. Board & Public Transparency

- Has the Board received an annual update on AGC implementation progress or staff alignment with AGC direction?
 - Has the community received any updates, summaries, or benefits derived from AGC recommendations (e.g., via email, open meetings, or website)?
 - Have any new opportunities arisen that require additional Board discussion related to AGC's groundwork?
-

VII. Ongoing Needs

- Do any of the AGC topics (e.g., financial planning, commercial vacancies, master planning) require continued staff or committee-level work?
- Is there a need to re-engage or consult former AGC members for advisory input on a current or emerging project?
- Should the Council consider establishing a successor task force, committee, or consultant engagement to advance growth planning?